REMARKS

Claims 4, 10, 11, and 14 are pending in the above-identified patent application.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 - 9, and 12 - 13 have been Canceled.

Claims 15 - 39 have been Withdrawn in a prior office action response as part of a restriction requirement in the Office Action mailed 08 May 2003.

In the above-referenced Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 - 9, and 12 - 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by European Patent (EP 1047095 A2) to Watabe et al.

Claims 2, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Watabe et al.* (EP 1047095 A2) in view of *Yoshikawa et al.* (US 5,990,605).

Claims 4, 10, 11, and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and would be allowable subject matter if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Applicant believes that all claims as amended herein are enabled by the Specification. No new matter was introduced in amending the Claims.

THE PRESENT AMENDMENT

Prior to proceeding to a detailed discussion of the merits of the outstanding objections to the Claims, it will first be helpful to summerize the changes made by the amendments set forth herein. The Claims as amended herein are set forth in the above **CLAIMS LISTING:** (see **Page 2** herein).

a. Amendments to Claims 4, 10, and 14

Applicant has amended **Claims 4, 10,** and **14** to particularly point out and to distinctly claim the subject matter the Applicant regards as her invention and to rewrite those claims in independent format to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

i. Amendment to Claim 4

Claim 4 has been amended herein to include the limitations of independent Claim 1 and dependent Claim 2.

ii. Amendment to Claim 10

Claim 10 has been amended herein to include the limitations of independent Claim 1 and dependent Claim 9.

iii. Status of Claim 11

Claim 11 was not amended because it now depends from amended Claim 10.

iv. Amendment to Claim 14

Claim 14 has been amended herein to include the limitations of independent Claim 1 and dependent Claim 9.

The Applicant respectfully submits that **Claims 4, 10, 11,** and **14** as amended herein are not anticipated by, are not obvious, nor are unpatentable in view of the prior art of record and overcome the Examiners objections to **Claims 4, 10, 11,** and **14** as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Therefore, the objections to Claims 4, 10, 11, and 14 ought to now be withdrawn. Accordingly, Claims 4, 10, 11, and 14 as amended herein are patentably distinct over the prior art of record and are enabled by the Specification as originally filed and ought to now be allowed.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Applicant respectfully submits that each of the Claims presently in the application are nonobvious and patentably distinct over the prior art of record and are enabled by the Specification as filled. The Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objections to the Claims as set forth in the Office Action mailed 31 December 2003 and requests the issuance of a Notice of Allowance such that the present application may timely issue as a U.S. patent.

<u>AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE FEES DUE TO HP PTO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT</u>

Any fees due in response to the Office Action mailed **31 December 2003**, including any fees for Extensions of Time, are Authorized to be charged to the HP PTO Deposit Account Number: **08-2025**.

Respectfully submitted,

Xia Sheng, et al.

Dated: March 31, 2004

Trueman H. Denny, III

Registration Number 44,652